



DOCKET NO. 2847.1001-011

10
PMS
11/21/2002

**TERMINAL DISCLAIMER TO OBLVIA TE A DOUBLE PATENTING
REJECTION OVER A PRIOR PATENT**

In re Application of: Gary J. Swanson

**TERMINAL DISCLAIMER
APPROVED**

Application No. 09/895,865

NOV 21 2002

Filed: June 29, 2001

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800
SPECIAL PROGRAM CENTER**

Confirmation No.: 5881

For: A System and Method for Efficient Illumination in Color Projection Displays

The owner, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, of one-hundred percent interest in the instant application hereby disclaims, except as provided below, the terminal part of the statutory term of any patent granted on the instant application, which would extend beyond the expiration date of the full statutory term defined in 35 U.S.C. 154 to 156 and 173, as presently shortened by any terminal disclaimer, of prior Patent No. 6,417,967. The owner hereby agrees that any patent so granted on the instant application shall be enforceable only for and during such period that it and the prior patent are commonly owned. This agreement runs with any patent granted on the instant application and is binding upon the grantee, its successors or assigns.

In making the above disclaimer, the owner does not disclaim the terminal part of any patent granted on the instant application that would extend to the expiration date of the full statutory term as defined in 35 U.S.C. 154 to 156 and 173 of the prior patent, as presently shortened by any terminal disclaimer in the event that it later: expires for failure to pay a maintenance fee, is held unenforceable, is found invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, is statutorily disclaimed in whole or terminally disclaimed under 37 CFR 1.321, has all claims cancelled by a reexamination certificate, is reissued, or is in any manner terminated prior to the expiration of its full statutory term as presently shortened by any terminal disclaimer.

The terminal disclaimer fee under 37 CFR 1.20(d) is enclosed.

RENEE PRESTON
Paralegal
Paralegal Specialist
Technology Center 2800

The undersigned is an attorney of record.

11/5/02

Date

Theresa A. Devlin

Theresa A. Devlin
Registration No. 45,361
Telephone: (978) 341-0036
Facsimile: (978) 341-0136

RECEIVED

NOV 20 2002

**OFFICE OF THE SPECIAL
PROGRAMS EXAMINER**

11/15/2002 DTESEM1 00000014 09895865

02 FC:1814

110.00 OP

T.D. INFORMAL MEMO: DO NOT MAIL THIS MEMO TO APPLICANT

DATE: 21-Nov-02

APPL. S.N.: 09/895,865

TO: EXAMINER Juba, John

ART UNIT: 2872

FROM: Preston, Renee

RETURN THIS MEMO TO: CP4-6D34

PARALEGAL SPECIALIST

SUBJECT: Decision on Terminal Disclaimer (T.D.) filed: 13-Nov-02

INSTRUCTIONS: I have reviewed the submitted T.D. with the results as set forth below. If you agree, please use the appropriate form paragraphs identified by this informal memo in your next Office action to notify applicant of the T.D. If you disagree or have any questions, please see me or the Special Program Examiner. THIS IS AN INFORMAL, INTERNAL MEMO ONLY. IT MUST NOT BE (1) MAILED TO APPLICANT OR (2) PLACED OF RECORD IN THE APPLICATION FILE. When your action is complete, please initial, date and return this memo to me. THANK YOU.

The T.D. is PROPER and has been recorded (see §14.23).

The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see § 14.24):

The TD fee of has not been submitted nor is there any authorization in the application file for the use of a deposit account (see § 14.26.07).

The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see §§ 14.26 & 14.26.01).

The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see § 14.27.01).

The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since “the disclaimer must be for a terminal portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted” (MPEP 1490) (see §§ 14.26 & 14.26.02).

The person who signed the T.D.:

is not an attorney “of record” (see §§ 14.29 and 14.29.01).

has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see § 14.28).

is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see §§ 14.29 & possible 14.29.02).

No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see § 14.30).

The T.D. is not signed (see §§ 14.26 & 14.26.03).

The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see § 14.32).

The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed) is missing or incorrect (see §§ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05).

The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see §§ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03).

Other:

Suggestion to request refund (sec § 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and **do not** check this item.

I have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.

Ex. Initials: _____ Date: _____

Log Date: